UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3

2

1

' |

4

5

6

VS.

7

9

10

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2324

25

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff.

JOSE LUIS REYNALDO REYES-CASTILLO, a/k/a "Molesto,"

DAVID ARTURO PEREZ-MANCHAME, a/k/a "Walter Melendez,"

a/k/a "Herbi,"
JOEL VARGAS-ESCOBAR,
a/k/a "Momia," and

ALEXANDER DE JESUS FIGUEROA-TORRES,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:19-cr-00103-GMN-MDC

ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT PEREZ-MANCHAME'S MOTION TO STRIKE (ECF No. 168) SURPLUSAGE FROM SECOND SUPERSEDING INDICTMENT

The Court GRANTS the Defendant David Arturo Perez-Manchame ("Perez-Manchame") *Motion to Strike (ECF No. 168)* ("Motion") which seeks to strike the special findings at pp. 54:19-56:09 in the *Second Superseding Indictment (ECF No. 141)*, pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 7(d). The special findings that Perez-Manchame seeks to strike at pp. 54:19-56:09 of the *Second Superseding Indictment* are aggravating factors regarding Perez-Manchame that are relevant for a capital prosecution and commonly referred to as *Ring* factors. *See Ring v. Arizona*, 536 U.S. 584 (2002).

Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 7(d) provides that "[u]pon the defendant's motion, the court may strike surplusage from the indictment or information." *Id.* One purpose of Rule 7(b) is to give a defendant the opportunity to strike "immaterial or irrelevant allegations in an indictment or information, which may, however, be prejudicial." *United States v. Laurienti*, 611 F.3d 530, 546 (9th Cir. 2010). Defendant Perez-Manchame argues that the special findings at pp. 54:19-56:09 are immaterial, irrelevant,

Page 2 of 3

Defendant Perez-Manchame replies he was charged with this death-eligible case outside of the perimeters of the memorandum, *e.g.*, Perez-Manchame was charged on April 30, 2019. *Reply, ECF No.* 226; see also ECF No. 1 pp. 1-11. 1

13

14

15

16

17

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

¹ Defendant Jose Luis Reynaldo Reyes-Castillo ("Reyes-Castillo") initially filed a *Joinder* (*ECF No. 177*)("Joinder") to the Motion, which the Court denied without prejudice and an opportunity to file an amended joinder (*ECF No. 233*). Defendant Reyes-Castillo did not file an amended joinder but instead emailed the Court the following:

From: Richard Wright < rick@wmllawlv.com>

Sent: Wednesday, March 5, 2025 12:37 PM

To: Court MDC < Court MDC @nvd.uscourts.gov>

Cc: John Balazs < balazslaw@gmail.com >; mlevy_wmllawlv.com < MLevy@wmllawlv.com >; Smith,

Melanee (USANV) < Melanee. Smith@usdoj.gov >; steven.rose@usdoj.gov

Subject: FW: Activity in Case 2:19-cr-00103-GMN-MDC USA v. Reyes-Castillo et al Minute Order

18

19

20

Hello. Regarding the Minute Order below, please advise the Honorable Magistrate Judge Maximiliano D. Couvillier, III, that during the Pretrial Conference yesterday the government advised Judge Navarro and the Defendants that the No Seek decision stands and the case will proceed to trial as a no death penalty case. The government advised that the special findings will be stricken from the indictment. Therefore, Defendant Jose Luis Reynaldo Reyes-Castillo will not be filing an amended Joinder by March 7th. Rick Wright.

21

22

23

Richard A. Wright Wright Marsh & Levy 300 S. Fourth Street, Suite 701 Las Vegas, NV 89101 (Office) 702-382-4004 (Fax) 702-382-4800 rick@wmllawly.com

2425

While the Court appreciates the notice, counsel should respond to the Court's Minute Orders with a formal filing, for the record.

ORDER

For the foregoing reasons,

IT IS ORDERED that defendant Perez-Manchame's Motion (*ECF No. 168*) is **GRANTED** and the special findings at pp. 54:19-56:09 in the *Second Superseding Indictment (ECF No. 141*) are struck per Fed. R. Crim. P. 7(d).

Dated: March 10, 2025

Hon. Maximiliar o D. Couvillier IV. United States Mr. gistrate Judge